Public Document Pack

Scrutiny Homes Sub-Committee Supplementary Agenda



7. Update on Regina Road (Pages 3 - 8)

The Sub-Committee is presented with a report for its consideration updating on the process of addressing the current and recurring unsatisfactory situation at the Regina Road estate.

Katherine Kerswell Chief Executive London Borough of Croydon Bernard Weatherill House 8 Mint Walk, Croydon CR0 1EA

Simon Trevaskis
Senior Democratic Services & Governance
Officer - Scrutiny
simon.trevaskis@croydon.gov.uk
www.croydon.gov.uk/meetings





Agenda Item 7 LONDON BOROUGH OF CROYDON

REPORT:	Homes Sub-Committee
DATE	27 February 2023
REPORT TITLE:	Update on the Regina Road Project
LEAD OFFICER:	Robin Smith – Regina Road Programme Lead
	Susmita Sen – Corporate Director for Housing
PERSON LEADING AT SCRUTINY	Robin Smith – Regina Road Programme Lead
COMMITTEE MEETING:	Susmita Sen – Corporate Director for Housing
LEAD MEMBER:	Councillor Lynne Hale – Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Homes
ORIGIN OF ITEM:	This report has been included on the agenda for to update the Homes Sub-Committee on the progress made with the Regina Road project.
BRIEF FOR THE SUB-COMMITTEE:	The Sub-Committee is presented with a report for its consideration updating on the process of addressing the current and recurring unsatisfactory situation at the Regina Road estate.
PUBLIC/EXEMPT:	Public

1 UPDATE ON THE REGINA ROAD PROJECT

1.1. Appended to this cover report is the latest Housing Transformation Board Project Highlight report on the resolution programme for Regina Road. This report has been included on the agenda to update the Sub-Committee on the progress made with the project.

2 APPENDICES

2.1. Appendix A - Housing Transformation Board Project Highlight report: Resolution programme for Regina Road



Housing Transformation Board Project Highlight report

Workstream: Long-term Home and Neighbourhoods Project: Resolution programme for Regina Road

Reporting period: 1st February 2023 – 28th February 2023

	Highlight Report							
Workstream Lead:	Robin Smith Project Lead: Robin Smith							
Project Aim:	To address the current unsatisfactory situation at the Regina Road estate where three ageing tower blocks require action to ensure modern social housing fit for the 21st century.							
Project	RR Project Board							
Methodology/sub work groups	RR Operational Group (week 1 - fortnightly)							
	Statutory Consultation and Ballot Task and Finish Group (week 2 – fortnightly)							
	LPS Block: Engagement and Review Project (Separate Project – Work group to be established)							
Project Outcomes:	Increased number of modern affordable homes built to good standards							
Finances:	How is the project funded? HRA, capital receipts and application for GLA grant Spend against budget: TBC							
Stakeholders:	LBC Residents Mayor / Cabinet LBC Housing Directorate Housing Improvement Board							
Interdependencies:	Damp and Mould working group							
Achievements this	Project Board meeting 21st February							
month:	Consultation period ended 26 th January. Report being drafted for Cabinet on 22 March 72% of residents (119 households) engaged in consultation. Residents responding to the consultation showed a stronger preference for rebuilding over refurbishment, with 26 of 31 survey responses selecting 'strongly agree' to rebuilding, and 22 of 31 responses selecting 'strongly disagree' to refurbishment. Drafting Cabinet Report for 22 March, including the Landlord Offer to residents. Financial modelling being progressed by Savills.							
	Plan for Ballot logistics with Civica – independent Ballot organisers.							

Sta	Stakeholder mapping						
Pro	Project Board, 21 February.						
Do	Dovolonment of PRPSC (Pagine Read Resident Steering Crown)						
	Development of RRRSG (Regina Road Resident Steering Group) Planning Community Event to give feedback to residents – community fun day – in						
			on 22 March		o residents -	- community	iuii uay – iii
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ion phonic	oubillot (on ZZ Maron				
			Key Milest				
Milestone (from	Owner	End dat	e Revised	RAG	Commen	ts	
business case)	1000	10/11/0	end date				
Assessment of structura safety		16/11/2		Green	·	Completed	
Assessment of fire safet		16/11/2		Green	Complete		
Assessment of health safety	RGS	16/11/2	2	Green		pleted - under review considerionale case	
Desk top review of LBC	RGS	16/11/2	2	Green	Complete	d	
studies previously							
commissioned							
Desk top review of the	RGS	16/11/2	2	Green	Complete	ed	
experience of other councils							
Resident engagement	RGS	16/11/2		Green		Completed - initial workshops	
Resident data collection	Mary	30/01/2	3	Amber	Nearing completion – Around 80%		
by Altair	Larbie				households covered.		
Production of letters and	Katherine	13/12/2	2	Green		ed – statutory consultation	
leaflets for residents –	Monk					ed 13 Decem	iber and
statutory consultation					concluded	d 26 January	
process Resident design	Katherine	30/04/2	2	Green	ongoing		
workshops with architects		30/04/2	3	Green	ongoing		
Collation and Analysis of	Altair /	31/01/2	3	Green	Completed, being reported to Ca		rted to Cabinet
resident feedback	BTPW			0.00	on 22 March		
Present consultation	RGS	22/03/2	3	Green	Decision taken to present to N		ent to March
findings to Cabinet					Cabinet b	Cabinet because stat. consultation concluded on 26 Jan	
					concluded		
Resident Ballot	TBC	30/04/2		Green	Civica ap		
Move to Phase 3:		30/05/2	3	Green	Worksho	Workshops ongoing	
Develop plans for Refurb							
or rebuild							
		1/-	u ulaka ana	l !aaaa			
Risk or Issue Des	crintian an		<mark>ey risks and</mark> igation	ISSUES	Dick/icour	PAG status	Date identified
(Including JCAD Imp	cription an	u IVIII	igation		Owner	NAG SIBIUS	Date Identified
number for risks or	act				OWITE		
LBC delivery							
tracker ref.)							
Croydon procedures Dela	y. Residen	ts Pro	ject Plan hi	ghlighting	RGS	Amber	1/12/22
	onger in	key	key dates that should be				
1 1 1	uitable	pos	sible to be	achieved			
necessary specialist							

expertise, and	accommodation.				
obtaining necessary	Costs increase.				
inputs					
Viability as seen by	Delay	Ensure well designed	RGS	Amber	16/11/220
any development	_	project with residents,			
partners such as		that is commercially			
Housing		feasible.			
Associations or					
private developers,					
coupled with site					
attractiveness					
relative to other					
development					
opportunities in					
South London					
Residents might	Council re-assess	Good quality information	RGS	Amber	16/11/22
1	_	prior to ballot	1100	7 (11100)	10/11/22
	Road Estate				
three tower blocks	rtodd Estato				
Residents of	Council to re assess	Good quality information	RGS	Amber	16/11/22
		prior to ballot.	NGS	Ailibei	10/11/22
I	Road Estate, focus				
	on the three towers,				
	and then refurbish				
	medium and low rise				
obsolescence of	inedium and low rise				
their homes rather					
than rebuilding Economic			RGS	Amber	16/11/22
			RGS	Ambei	10/11/22
uncertainty,					
particularly round					
the possibility of a further rent freeze or					
cap restricting the					
financial flexibility					
within the HRA			D00	Λ. Ι	40/44/00
Critics might argue		Good quality information,	RGS	Amber	16/11/22
that refurbishment is		and undertaking of			
more sustainable		Carbon Assessment			
than redevelopment,					
so whole life carbon					
assessments will be					
needed alongside					
option development					
to refute any					
criticism	A 1 1141		500	•	40/44/55
Leasehold/freehold		Early engagement with	RGS	Amber	16/11/22
		leaseholders and			
	project	freeholders			
Compulsory					
Purchase Orders					
which would delay					
progress					

1	Additional cost to	Careful design of	RGS	Amber	16/11/22
		phasing			
•	upheaval to				
right-to-return	residents.				
Risk to trust in	Lack of effective	Good quality	RGS	Amber	22/11/22
council because of	resident	communication and			
recent S114 notice	engagement	explanation			
Unsuccessful in	GLA tightening of	Dialogue with GLA.	RGS	Amber	16/11/22
obtaining GLA	eligibility of schemes not delivering				
funding	not delivering	Early application for			
	additional social	funding			
	housing				

RAG

RAG rating definition

Red = project not on track and will need a plan to get the project back on track

Amber = project on track, but will have a plan to get back to green

Green = project on track to deliver committed scope by committed deadline with committed resources